arXiv:0704.0359v1 [math.CV] 3 Apr 2007

Some properties of the complex Monge-Ampère operator in Cegrell's classes and applications

NGUYEN VAN KHUE and PHAM HOANG HIEP

Abstract. In this article we will first prove a result about convergence in capacity. Using the achieved result we will obtain a general decompositon theorem for complex Monge-Ampère measues which will be used to prove a comparison principle for the complex Monge-Ampère operator.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 32W20, Secondary 32U15.

Key words and phrases: complex Monge-Ampère operator, plurisubharmonic function.

This work was supported by the National Research Program for Natural Sciences, Vietnam.

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded hyperconvex domain in $\mathbf{C}^n$. By PSH(Ω) we denote the set of plurisubharmonic (psh) functions on Ω. In [BT 1,2] the authors established and used the comparison principle to study the Dirichlet problem in PSH$\cap L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega)$. Recently, Cegrell introduced a general class $\mathcal{E}$ of psh functions on which the complex Monge-Ampère operator $(dd^c.)^n$ can be defined. He obtained many important results of pluripotential theory in the class $\mathcal{E}$. For example, the ones on the comparison principle and solvability of the Dirichlet problem (see [Ce 1-3]).

The main result of our paper are Theorem 4.1 and some Xing type comparision principles. Theorem 4.1 is generalize Lemma 5.4 in [Ce1], Lemma 7.2 in [Åh] and Lemma 3.4 in [Ce3]. For definitions of Cegrell's classes see Section 2. After giving some preliminaries, we start in Proposition 3.1 with a comparison principle, which is analogous to a comparison principle due to Xing (Lemma 1 in [Xi1]). It should be observed that our proof is quite different from Xing's proof, and the inequality we obtain is slightly stronger than Xing's inequality, even in the case of bounded psh functions. Using Proposition 3.1, we give in Theorem 3.5 a sufficient condition for C_n-capacity convergence of a sequence of psh functions in the class $\mathcal{F}$. This result should be compared to Theorem 3 of [Xi1] where the situation of bounded psh functions was studied. Applying Theorem 3.5 we give generalizations of recent results in [Cz] and [CLP] about convergences of multipole Green functions and a criterion for pluripolarity, respectively. Section 4 focuses on Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.9. By applying Theorem 4.1 we give some results on class Cegrell's classes. We prove in Proposition 4.4 a local estimate for the Monge-Ampère measure in terms of the Beford-Taylor relative capacity. As an application, we give in Theorem 4.5 a decomposition result for Monge-Ampère measure, which is similar in spirit to Theorem 6.3 in [Ce1]. From Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 we obtain easily a Xing type comparison principle for functions in classes $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{E}$.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Professor Urban Cegrell for useful discussions that helped to improve the paper. We are grateful to Per Åhag for fruitful comments. This work is supported by the National Research Program for Natural Sciences, Vietnam.

2. Preliminaries

First we recall some elements of pluripotential theory that will be used throughout the paper. All this can be found in [BT2], [Ce1], [Ce2], [Le].

2.1. We will always denote by Ω a bounded hyperconvex domain in $\mathbf{C}^n$ unless other wise stated. The C_n-capacity in the sense of Bedford and Taylor on Ω is the set function given by

$$C_n(E) = C_n(E,\Omega) = \sup\{\int\limits_E (dd^c u)^n \ : \ u \in \mathrm{PSH}(\Omega),\ -1 \le u \le 0\}$$

for every Borel set E in Ω. It is proved in [BT2] that

$$C_n(E) = \int\limits_E (dd^c h^*_{E,\Omega})^n$$

where $h^*_{E,\Omega}$ is the upper regularization of the relative extremal function $h_{E,\Omega}$ for E (relative to Ω) i.e.,

$$h_{E,\Omega}(z) = \sup\{u(z) \ : \ u \in \mathrm{PSH}^-(\Omega),\ u \le -1 \text{ on } E\}.$$

The following concepts are taken from [Xi1] and [Xi2]

$*$A sequence of functions u_j on Ω is said to converge to a function u in C_n-capacity on a set $E \subset \Omega$ if for every $\delta > 0$ we have $C_n(\{z \in E \ : \ |u_j(z) - u(z)| > \delta\}) \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$.

$*$A family of positive measures $\{\mu_\alpha\}$ on Ω is called uniformly absolutely continuous with respect to C_n-capacity in a set $E \subset \Omega$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for each Borel subset $F \subset E$ with $C_n(\mathrm{F}) < \delta$ the inequality $\mu_\alpha(\mathrm{F}) < \epsilon$ holds for all α. We write $\mu_\alpha \ll C_n$ in E uniformly for α.

2.2. The following classes of psh functions were introduced by Cegrell in [Ce1] and [Ce2]

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in \mathrm{PSH}^-(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) : \lim_{z \to \partial\Omega} \varphi(z) = 0,\ \int\limits_\Omega (dd^c\varphi)^n < +\infty\},$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in \mathrm{PSH}^-(\Omega) : \ \exists\ \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \ni \varphi_j \searrow \varphi,\ \sup_{j \ge 1} \int\limits_\Omega (dd^c\varphi_j)^n < +\infty\},$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in \mathrm{PSH}^-(\Omega) : \ \exists\ \varphi_K \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \text{ such that } \varphi_K = \varphi \text{ on } K,\ \forall K \subset\subset \Omega\},$$

$$\mathcal{E}^a = \mathcal{E}^a(\Omega) = \{u \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega) \ : \ (dd^c u)^n(E) = 0 \ \forall\ E \text{ is pluripolar in } \Omega\}.$$

For each $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$, we set

$$e_0(u) = \int\limits_\Omega (dd^c u)^n.$$

2.3. Let $A = \{(w_j, \nu_j)\}_{j=1,...,p}$ be a finite subset of $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^+$. According to Lelong (see [Le]), the pluricomplex Green function with poles in A is defined by

$$g(A)(z) = \sup\{u(z) : \ u \in \mathcal{L}_A\}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_A = \{u \in \mathrm{PSH}^-(\Omega) : \ u(z) - \nu_j \log|z - w_j| \leq O(1) \text{ as } z \to w_j, \ j = 1, ..., p\}$$

Set

$$\nu(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \nu_j^n, \ \hat{A} = \{w_j\}_{j=1,...,p}.$$

2.4. We write $\underline{\lim}_{z \to \partial\Omega} [u(z) - v(z)] \geq a$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K in Ω such that

$$u(z) - v(z) \geq a - \epsilon \text{ for } z \in (\Omega \backslash K) \cap \{u > -\infty\}$$

and

$$v(z) = -\infty \text{ for } z \in (\Omega \backslash K) \cap \{u = -\infty\}.$$

2.5. Xing's comparison principle (see Lemma 1 in [Xi1]). *Let Ω be a bounded open subset in $\mathbf{C}^n$ and $u, v \in \mathrm{PSH} \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfy $\underline{\lim}_{z \to \partial\Omega} [u(z) - v(z)] \geq 0$. Then for any constant $r \geq 1$ and all $w_j \in \mathrm{PSH}(\Omega)$ with $0 \leq w_j \leq 1$, $j = 1, 2, ..., n$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{(n!)^2} \int\limits_{\{u<v\}} (v - u)^n dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\{u<v\}} (r - w_1)(dd^c v)^n \leq \int\limits_{\{u<v\}} (r - w_1)(dd^c u)^n$$

3. Some convergence theorems

In order to study the convergence of a sequence of psh functions in C_n-capacity, we start with the following.

3.1. Proposition. a) *Let $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$such that $u \leq v$ on Ω. Then for $1 \leq k \leq n$*

$$\frac{1}{k!} \int\limits_{\Omega} (v - u)^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\Omega} (r - w_1)(dd^c v)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{\Omega} (r - w_1)(dd^c u)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

for all $w_j \in \mathrm{PSH}(\Omega), \ 0 \leq w_j \leq 1, \ j = 1, ..., k, \ w_{k+1}, ..., w_n \in \mathcal{F}$ and all $r \geq 1$.

b) *Let $u, v \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $u \leq v$ on Ω and $u = v$ on $\Omega \backslash K$ for some $K \subset\subset \Omega$. Then for $1 \leq k \leq n$*

$$\frac{1}{k!}\int\limits_{\Omega}(v-u)^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\Omega}(r-w_1)(dd^c v)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{\Omega}(r-w_1)(dd^c u)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

for all $w_j \in \mathrm{PSH}(\Omega),\ 0 \leq w_j \leq 1,\ j = 1, ..., k,\ w_{k+1}, ..., w_n \in \mathcal{E}$ and all $r \geq 1$.

We proceed through some lemmas.

3.2. Lemma. *Let $u, v \in \mathrm{PSH} \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $u \leq v$ on Ω and $\lim\limits_{z \to \partial\Omega}[u(z) - v(z)] = 0$. Then*

$$\int\limits_{\Omega}(v-u)^k dd^c w \wedge T \leq k\int\limits_{\Omega}(1-w)(v-u)^{k-1} dd^c u \wedge T$$

for all $w \in PSH(\Omega)$, $0 \leq w \leq 1$ and all positive closed currents T.

Proof. First, assume $u, v \in \mathrm{PSH} \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, $u \leq v$ on Ω and $u = v$ on $\Omega \backslash K$, $K \subset\subset \Omega$. Then, using the Stokes formula we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_{\Omega}(v-u)^k dd^c w \wedge T &= \int\limits_{\Omega}(v-u)^k dd^c (w-1) \wedge T \\ &= \int\limits_{\Omega}(w-1) dd^c (v-u)^k \wedge T \\ &= -k(k-1)\int\limits_{\Omega}(1-w) d(v-u) \wedge d^c(v-u) \wedge T \\ &\quad + k\int\limits_{\Omega}(1-w)(v-u)^{k-1} dd^c(u-v) \wedge T \\ &\leq k\int\limits_{\Omega}(1-w)(v-u)^{k-1} dd^c(u-v) \wedge T \\ &\leq k\int\limits_{\Omega}(1-w)(v-u)^{k-1} dd^c u \wedge T.\end{aligned}$$

General case, for each $\epsilon > 0$ we set $v_\epsilon = \max(u, v - \epsilon)$. Then $v_\epsilon \nearrow v$ on Ω, $v_\epsilon \geq u$ on Ω and $v_\epsilon = u$ on $\Omega \backslash K$ for some $K \subset\subset \Omega$. Hence

$$\int\limits_{\Omega}(v_\epsilon - u)^k dd^c w \wedge T \leq k\int\limits_{\Omega}(1-w)(v_\epsilon - u)^{k-1} dd^c u \wedge T.$$

Since $0 \leq v_\epsilon - u \nearrow v - u$ as $\epsilon \searrow 0$, letting $\epsilon \searrow 0$ we get

$$\int\limits_\Omega (v-u)^k dd^c w \wedge T \leq k \int\limits_\Omega (1-w)(v-u)^{k-1} dd^c u \wedge T.$$

3.3. Lemma. *Let* $u, v \in \mathrm{PSH} \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ *such that* $u \leq v$ *on* Ω *and* $\lim\limits_{z \to \partial\Omega} [u(z) - v(z)] = 0$. *Then for* $1 \leq k \leq n$

$$\frac{1}{k!} \int\limits_\Omega (v-u)^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_\Omega (r - w_1)(dd^c v)^k \wedge T$$

$$\leq \int\limits_\Omega (r - w_1)(dd^c u)^k \wedge T.$$

for all $w_1, ..., w_k \in \mathrm{PSH}(\Omega),\ 0 \leq w_j \leq 1\ \forall\ j = 1, ..., k,\ w_{k+1}, ..., w_n \in \mathcal{E}$ *and all* $r \geq 1$.

Proof. To simplify the notation we set

$$T = dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n.$$

First, assume that $u, v \in \mathrm{PSH} \cap L^\infty(\Omega),\ u \leq v$ on Ω, and $u = v$ on $\Omega \backslash K$, $K \subset\subset \Omega$. Using Lemma 3.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int\limits_\Omega (v-u)^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n &\leq k \int\limits_\Omega (v-u)^{k-1} dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_{k-1} \wedge dd^c u \wedge T \\
&\leq ... \\
&\leq k! \int\limits_\Omega (v-u) dd^c w_1 \wedge (dd^c u)^{k-1} \wedge T \\
&\leq k! \int\limits_\Omega (v-u) dd^c w_1 \wedge [\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (dd^c u)^i \wedge (dd^c v)^{k-i-1}] \wedge T \\
&= k! \int\limits_\Omega (w_1 - r) dd^c (v-u) \wedge [\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (dd^c u)^i \wedge (dd^c v)^{k-i-1}] \wedge T \\
&= k! \int\limits_\Omega (r - w_1) dd^c (u-v) \wedge [\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (dd^c u)^i \wedge (dd^c v)^{k-i-1}] \wedge T \\
&= k! \int\limits_\Omega (r - w_1)[(dd^c u)^k - (dd^c v)^k] \wedge T.
\end{aligned}$$

General case, for each $\epsilon > 0$ we put $v_\epsilon = \max(u, v - \epsilon)$. Then $v_\epsilon \nearrow v$ on Ω, $v_\epsilon \geq u$ on Ω and $v_\epsilon = u$ on $\Omega \backslash K$ for some $K \subset\subset \Omega$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{k!} \int\limits_\Omega (v_\epsilon - u)^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_\Omega (r - w_1)(dd^c v_\epsilon)^k \wedge T$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{\Omega} (r - w_1)(dd^c u)^k \wedge T.$$

Observe that $0 \leq v_\epsilon - u \nearrow v - u$ and $(dd^c v_\epsilon)^k \wedge T \to (dd^c v)^k \wedge T$ weakly as $\epsilon \searrow 0$, $r - w_1$ is lower semicontinuous, by letting $\epsilon \searrow 0$ we have

$$\frac{1}{k!} \int\limits_{\Omega} (v - u)^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\Omega} (r - w_1)(dd^c v)^k \wedge T$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{\Omega} (r - w_1)(dd^c u)^k \wedge T.$$

The proof is finished.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. a) Let $\mathcal{E}_0 \ni u_j \searrow u$ and $\mathcal{E}_0 \ni v_j \searrow v$ as in the definition of $\mathcal{F}$. Replace v_j by $\max(u_j, v_j)$ we may assume that $u_j \leq v_j$ for $j \geq 1$. By Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\frac{1}{k!} \int\limits_{\Omega} (v_j - u_t)^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\Omega} (r - w_1)(dd^c v_j)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{\Omega} (r - w_1)(dd^c u_t)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

for $t \geq j \geq 1$. By Proposition 5.1 in [Ce2] letting $t \to \infty$ in the above inequality we have

$$\frac{1}{k!} \int\limits_{\Omega} (v_j - u)^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\Omega} (r - w_1)(dd^c v_j)^k \wedge T$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{\Omega} (r - w_1)(dd^c u)^k \wedge T$$

for $j \geq 1$. Next letting $j \to \infty$ again by Proposition 5.1 in [Ce2] we get the desired conclusion.

b) Let G, W be open sets such that $K \subset\subset G \subset\subset W \subset\subset \Omega$. According to the remark following Definition 4.6 in [Ce2] we can choose a function $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\tilde{v} \geq v$ and $\tilde{v} = v$ on W. Set

$$\tilde{u} = \begin{cases} u \text{ on } G \\ \tilde{v} \text{ on } \Omega \setminus G \end{cases}$$

Since $u = v = \tilde{v}$ on $W \setminus K$ we have $\tilde{u} \in \mathrm{PSH}^-(\Omega)$. It is easy to see that $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{F}$, $\tilde{u} \leq \tilde{v}$ and $\tilde{u} = u$ on W. By a) we have

$$\frac{1}{k!} \int\limits_{\Omega} (\tilde{v} - \tilde{u})^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\Omega} (r - w_1)(dd^c \tilde{v})^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

$$\le \int\limits_{\Omega} (r - w_1)(dd^c\tilde{u})^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n.$$

Since $\tilde{u} = \tilde{v}$ on $\Omega \backslash G$ we have

$$\frac{1}{k!} \int\limits_{W} (\tilde{v} - \tilde{u})^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{W} (r - w_1)(dd^c \tilde{v})^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

$$\le \int\limits_{W} (r - w_1)(dd^c\tilde{u})^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n.$$

Since $\tilde{u} = u$, $\tilde{v} = v$ on W and $u = v$ on $\Omega \backslash K$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{k!} \int\limits_{\Omega} (v - u)^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\Omega} (r - w_1)(dd^c v)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

$$\le \int\limits_{\Omega} (r - w_1)(dd^c u)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n.$$

3.4. Proposition. *Let $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$ and $u \le v$ on Ω. Then*

$$\frac{1}{n!} \int\limits_{\Omega} (v - u)^n dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n \le \int\limits_{\Omega} (-w_1)[(dd^c u)^n - (dd^c v)^n]$$

for all $w_j \in \mathrm{PSH}(\Omega)$, $-1 \le w_j \le 0$, $j = 1, ..., n$.

Proof. The proposition follows from Proposition 3.1 with $k = n,\ r = 1$ and w_j are replaced by $w_j + 1$.

3.5. Theorem. *Let $u, u_j \in \mathcal{F}$ and $u_j \le u$ for $j \ge 1$. Assume that $\sup\limits_{j \ge 1} \int\limits_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^n < +\infty$ and $||(dd^c u_j)^n - (dd^c u)^n||_E \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$ for all $E \subset\subset \Omega$. Then $u_j \to u$ in C_n-capacity on every $E \subset\subset \Omega$ as $j \to \infty$.*

Proof. Let $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ and $\delta > 0$. Put

$$A_j = \{z \in \overline{\Omega'} \ : \ |u_j - u| \ge \delta\} = \{z \in \overline{\Omega'} \ : \ u - u_j \ge \delta\}.$$

We prove that $C_n(A_j) \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. Given $\epsilon > 0$. By quasicontinuity of u and u_j, there is an open set G in Ω such that $C_n(G) < \epsilon$, and $u_j|_{\Omega \backslash G}$, $u|_{\Omega \backslash G}$ are continuous. We have

$$A_j = B_j \cup \{z \in G \ : \ u - u_j \ge \delta\}.$$

where $B_j = \{z \in \overline{\Omega'} \backslash G \ : \ u - u_j \ge \delta\}$ are compact sets in Ω and

$$\overline{\lim_{j \to \infty}} C_n(A_j) \le \overline{\lim_{j \to \infty}} C_n(B_j) + \epsilon$$

We claim that $\lim\limits_{j\to\infty} C_n(B_j) = 0$. By Proposition 3.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_n(B_j) &= \int\limits_{B_j} (dd^c h^*_{B_j})^n \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\delta^n} \int\limits_{B_j} (u-u_j)^n (dd^c h^*_{B_j})^n \\
&\leq \frac{n!}{\delta^n} \int\limits_{\Omega} (-h^*_{B_j})[(dd^c u_j)^n - (dd^c u)^n] \\
&\leq \frac{n!}{\delta^n} \{||(dd^c u_j)^n - (dd^c u)^n||_K + \int\limits_{\Omega\setminus K} (-h_{\Omega'})[(dd^c u_j)^n + (dd^c u)^n]\} \\
&\leq \frac{n!}{\delta^n} \{||(dd^c u_j)^n - (dd^c u)^n||_K + \sup_{\Omega\setminus K} |h_{\Omega'}|[\sup_{j\geq 1} \int\limits_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^n + \int\limits_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n]\}.
\end{aligned}$$

As $\lim\limits_{z\to\partial\Omega} h_{\Omega'}(z) = 0$ there exists $K \subset\subset \Omega$ such that

$$\frac{n!}{\delta^n} \sup_{\Omega\setminus K} |h_{\Omega'}|[\sup_{j\geq 1} \int\limits_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^n + \int\limits_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n] < \epsilon.$$

By the hypothesis

$$\frac{n!}{\delta^n} ||(dd^c u_j)^n - (dd^c u)^n||_K < \epsilon \text{ for } j > j_0.$$

Thus

$$C_n(B_j) < 2\epsilon \text{ for } j > j_0.$$

This proves the claim and hence the theorem.

As an application of Theorem 3.5 we have the following

3.6. Proposition. *Let $g(A_j)$ be multipolar Green functions on Ω such that*

$$\hat{A}_j = \{w_1^j, ..., w_{p_j}^j\} \to \partial\Omega \text{ and } \sup_{j\geq 1} \nu(A_j) = \sup_{j\geq 1} \sum_{k=1}^{p_j} (\nu_k^j)^n < +\infty$$

Then $g(A_j) \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$ in C_n-capacity.

Proof. By the hypothesis we have

$$\sup_{j\geq 1} (dd^c g(A_j))^n(\Omega) = \sup_{j\geq 1} \nu(A_j) < +\infty$$

and

$$||(dd^c g(A_j))^n||_K \to 0 \text{ as } j \to \infty \text{ for all } K \subset\subset \Omega.$$

Theorem 3.5 implies that $g(A_j) \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$ in C_n-capacity.

This section ends up with a criterion for pluripolarity

3.7. Theorem. *Let* $u_j \in \mathcal{F}$ *such that* $\sup\limits_{j\geq 1} \int\limits_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^n < +\infty$.

Then there is a constant $A > 0$ *such that*

i)$(\overline{\lim\limits_{j\to\infty}} u_j)^* \in \mathcal{F}$.

ii)$C_n(\{z \in \Omega : (\overline{\lim\limits_{j\to\infty}} u_j)^*(z) < -t\}) \leq \frac{A}{t^n}$.

iii)$\{z \in \Omega : \lim\limits_{j\to\infty} u_j(z) = -\infty\}$ *is pluripolar.*

Proof. i) For each $j \geq 1$ put $v_j = \sup\{u_j, u_{j+1}, ...\}$. By [Ce2] $v_j^* \in \mathcal{F}$ and

$$\sup_{j\geq 1} \int\limits_{\Omega} (dd^c v_j^*)^n \leq \sup_{j\geq 1} \int\limits_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^n < +\infty.$$

By [Ce2] we have $v_j^* \searrow v \in \mathcal{F}$.

ii) By Proposition 3.1 in [CKZ] we have

$$C_n\{z \in \Omega : (\overline{\lim_{j\to\infty}} u_j)^*(z) < -t\} = C_n\{z \in \Omega : v(z) < -t\} \leq \frac{2^n e_0(v)}{t^n} = \frac{A}{t^n},$$

where $A = 2^n e_0(v)$.

iii) According to [BT2] we have

$$C_n\{z \in \Omega : \lim_{j\to\infty} u_j(z) = -\infty\} = C_n\{z \in \Omega : v(z) = -\infty\} = 0.$$

Remark. Theorem 3.7 in the case where u_j are multipole Green functions was proved by D.Coman, N.Levenberg and A.Poletsky in Theorem 4.1 of [CLP].

4. Some properties of the Cegrell's classes and applications

In this section, first we prove the following

4.1. Theorem. *Let* $u, u_1, ..., u_{n-1} \in \mathcal{E}$, $v \in \mathrm{PSH}^-(\Omega)$ *and* $T = dd^c u_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_{n-1}$. *Then*

$$dd^c \max(u, v) \wedge T|_{\{u>v\}} = dd^c u \wedge T|_{\{u>v\}}.$$

We need the following well-known fact.

4.2. Lemma. *Let μ be a measure on Ω and $f : \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$ a measurable function on Ω. The following are equivalent*

i)$\mu(E) = 0$ *for all Borell sets* $E \subset \{f \neq 0\}$.

ii)$\int\limits_E f d\mu = 0$ *for every measurable set* E *in* Ω.

Proof. i)⇒ii) follows from:

$$\int\limits_E f d\mu = \int\limits_{E\setminus\{f=0\}} f d\mu + \int\limits_{E\cap\{f=0\}} f d\mu = 0$$

ii)⇒i). It suffices to show that $\mu = 0$ on every $X_\delta = \{f > \delta > 0\}$. By the Hahn decomposition theorem, there exist measurable subsets X_δ^+ and X_δ^- of X_δ such that $X_\delta = X_\delta^+ \cup X_\delta^-$, $X_\delta^+ \cap X_\delta^- = \emptyset$ and $\mu \geq 0$ on X_δ^+, $\mu \leq 0$ on X_δ^-. We have

$$\begin{cases} \delta\mu(X_\delta^+) \leq \int\limits_{X_\delta^+} f d\mu = 0 \\ \delta\mu(X_\delta^-) \geq \int\limits_{X_\delta^-} f d\mu = 0 \end{cases}$$

Hence, $\mu(X_\delta^+) = \mu(X_\delta^-) = 0$. Therefore, we have $\mu = 0$ on X_δ.

Proof of Theorem 4.1.

a) First we prove the proposition for $v \equiv a < 0$. According to the remark following Definition 4.6 in [Ce2], without loss of generality we may assume that $u, u_1, ..., u_{n-1} \in \mathcal{F}$. Using Theorem 2.1 in [Ce2] we can find

$$\mathcal{E}_0 \cap C(\bar{\Omega}) \ni u^j \searrow u,\ \mathcal{E}_0 \cap C(\bar{\Omega}) \ni u_k^j \searrow u_k,\ k = 1, ..., n-1.$$

Since $\{u^j > a\}$ is open we have

$$dd^c \max(u^j, a) \wedge T_j|_{\{u^j>a\}} = dd^c u^j \wedge T_j|_{\{u^j>a\}}.$$

Thus from the inclusion $\{u > a\} \subset \{u^j > a\}$ we obtain

$$dd^c \max(u^j, a) \wedge T_j|_{\{u>a\}} = dd^c u^j \wedge T_j|_{\{u>a\}}.$$

where $T_j = dd^c u_1^j \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_{n-1}^j$. By Corollary 5.2 in [Ce2], it follows that

$$\max(u-a, 0) dd^c \max(u^j, a) \wedge T_j \to \max(u-a, 0) dd^c \max(u, a) \wedge T.$$

$$\max(u-a, 0) dd^c u^j \wedge T_j \to \max(u-a, 0) dd^c u \wedge T.$$

Hence

$$\max(u-a, 0)[dd^c \max(u, a) \wedge T - dd^c u \wedge T] = 0.$$

Using Lemma 4.2 we have

$$dd^c \max(u,a) \wedge T = dd^c u \wedge T \text{ on } \{u > a\}.$$

b) Assume that $v \in PSH^-(\Omega)$. Since $\{u > v\} = \bigcup\limits_{a \in \mathbf{Q}^-} \{u > a > v\}$, it suffices to show that

$$dd^c \max(u,v) \wedge T = dd^c u \wedge T \text{ on } \{u > a > v\}$$

for all $a \in \mathbf{Q}^-$. Since $\max(u,v) \in \mathcal{E}$, by a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} dd^c \max(u,v) \wedge T|_{\{\max(u,v)>a\}} &= dd^c \max(\max(u,v),a) \wedge T|_{\{\max(u,v)>a\}} \\ &= dd^c \max(u,v,a) \wedge T|_{\{\max(u,v)>a\}}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$dd^c u \wedge T|_{\{u>a\}} = dd^c \max(u,a) \wedge T|_{\{u>a\}}. \tag{2}$$

Since $\max(u,v,a) = \max(u,a)$ on set open $\{a > v\}$, we have

$$dd^c \max(u,v,a) \wedge T|_{\{a>v\}} = dd^c \max(u,a) \wedge T|_{\{a>v\}}. \tag{3}$$

Since $\{u > a > v\} \subset \{u > a\},\ \{a > v\},\ \{\max(u,v) > a\}$ and (1), (2), (3) we have

$$dd^c \max(u,v) \wedge T|_{\{u>a>v\}} = dd^c u \wedge T|_{\{u>a>v\}}.$$

The next result is an analogue of an inequality due to Demaily in [De2]

4.3. Proposition. a) *$u, v \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $(dd^c u)^n(\{u = v = -\infty\}) = 0$. Then*

$$(dd^c \max(u,v))^n \geq 1_{\{u \geq v\}}(dd^c u)^n + 1_{\{u<v\}}(dd^c v)^n$$

where 1_E denotes the characteristic function of E.

b) *Let μ be a positive measure which vanishes on all pluripolar subsets of Ω. Suppose $u, v \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $(dd^c u)^n \geq \mu, (dd^c v)^n \geq \mu$. Then $(dd^c \max(u,v))^n \geq \mu$.*

Proof. a) For each $\epsilon > 0$ put $A_\epsilon = \{u = v - \epsilon\} \backslash \{u = v = -\infty\}$. Since $A_\epsilon \cap A_\delta = \emptyset$ for $\epsilon \neq \delta$ there exists $\epsilon_j \searrow 0$ such that $(dd^c u)^n(A_{\epsilon_j}) = 0$ for $j \geq 1$. On the other hand, since $(dd^c u)^n(\{u = v = -\infty\}) = 0$ we have $(dd^c u)^n(\{u = v - \epsilon_j\}) = 0$ for $j \geq 1$. Since Theorem 4.1 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (dd^c \max(u, v - \epsilon_j))^n &\geq (dd^c \max(u, v - \epsilon_j))^n|_{\{u>v-\epsilon_j\}} + (dd^c \max(u, v - \epsilon_j))^n|_{\{u<v-\epsilon_j\}} \\ &= (dd^c u)^n|_{\{u \geq v - \epsilon_j\}} + (dd^c v)^n|_{\{u<v-\epsilon_j\}} \\ &= 1_{\{u \geq v - \epsilon_j\}}(dd^c u)^n + 1_{\{u<v-\epsilon_j\}}(dd^c v)^n \\ &\geq 1_{\{u \geq v\}}(dd^c u)^n + 1_{\{u<v-\epsilon_j\}}(dd^c v)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $j \to \infty$ and by Remark under Theorem 5.15 in [Ce2] we get

$$(dd^c \max(u,v))^n \geq 1_{\{u \geq v\}}(dd^c u)^n + 1_{\{u<v\}}(dd^c v)^n$$

because $\max(u, v - \epsilon_j) \nearrow \max(u,v)$ and $1_{\{u<v-\epsilon_j\}} \nearrow 1_{\{u<v\}}$ as $j \to \infty$.

b) Argument as a)

4.4. Proposition. *Let* $u_1, ..., u_k \in \mathrm{PSH}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ *and* $u_{k+1}, ..., u_n \in \mathcal{E}$. *Then*

i) $\int\limits_B dd^c u_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_n = O((C_n(B))^{\frac{k}{n}})$ *for all Borel sets* $B \subset \Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$.

ii) $\int\limits_{B(a,r)} dd^c u_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_n = o((C_n(B(a,r)))^{\frac{k}{n}})$ *as* $r \to 0$ *for all* $a \in \Omega$.

where $B(a,r) = \{z \subset \mathbf{C}^n \ : \ |z-a| < r\}$

Proof. We may assume that $0 \leq u_j \leq 1$ for $j = 1, ..., k$. On the other hand, by the remark following Defintion 4.6 in [Ce2] we again may assume that $u_{k+1}, ..., u_n \in \mathcal{F}$.

i) For each open set $B \subset\subset \Omega$, applying Proposition 3.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int\limits_B dd^c u_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_n &= \int\limits_B (-h_B^*)^k dd^c u_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_n \\
&\leq \int\limits_\Omega (-h_B^*)^k dd^c u_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_n \\
&\leq k! \int\limits_\Omega (1-u_1)(dd^c h_B^*)^k \wedge dd^c u_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_n \\
&\leq k! \int\limits_\Omega (dd^c h_B^*)^k \wedge dd^c u_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_n \\
&\leq k! [\int\limits_\Omega (dd^c h_B^*)^n]^{\frac{k}{n}} \wedge [\int\limits_\Omega (dd^c u_{k+1})^n]^{\frac{1}{n}} \wedge ... \wedge [\int\limits_\Omega (dd^c u_n)^n]^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
&\quad \text{(by Corollary 5.6 in [Ce2])} \\
&\leq k! (e_0(u_{k+1}))^{\frac{1}{n}} ... (e_0(u_n))^{\frac{1}{n}} . [C_n(B)]^{\frac{k}{n}} \\
&\leq \text{constants}. [C_n(B)]^{\frac{k}{n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int\limits_B dd^c u_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_n \leq \text{constants}. [C_n(B)]^{\frac{k}{n}}.$$

for all Borel set $B \subset \Omega$.

ii) By Proposition 3.1 we have

$$\int\limits_{\Omega}(-\varphi)^k dd^c u_1\wedge ...\wedge dd^c u_n \le k!\int\limits_{\Omega}(1-u_1)(dd^c\varphi)^k\wedge dd^c u_{k+1}\wedge ...\wedge dd^c u_n$$

$$\le k!\int\limits_{\Omega}(dd^c\varphi)^k\wedge dd^c u_{k+1}\wedge ...\wedge dd^c u_n < +\infty.$$

Hence $(-\varphi)^k\in L_1(dd^c u_1\wedge ...\wedge dd^c u_n)$ for all $\varphi\in\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$. Given $a\in\Omega$ let r_0, R_0 such that $B(a,r_0)\subset\subset\Omega\subset\subset B(a,R_0)$. Then

$$\log\frac{|z-a|}{R_0}\le g_a(z)\le\log\frac{|z-a|}{r_0}$$

for all $z\in\Omega$, where g_a denotes the Green function of Ω with pole at a. Since $(-g_a)^k\in L_1(dd^c u_1\wedge ...\wedge dd^c u_n)$, it follows that

$$\int\limits_{B(a,r)}(-g_a)^k dd^c u_1\wedge ...\wedge dd^c u_n\to 0 \text{ as } r\to 0$$

Hence

$$(\log r_0-\log r)^k\int\limits_{B(a,r)} dd^c u_1\wedge ...\wedge dd^c u_n\le\int\limits_{B(a,r)}(-g_a)^k dd^c u_1\wedge ...\wedge dd^c u_n\to 0$$

as $r\to 0$. This means that

$$\int\limits_{B(a,r)} dd^c u_1\wedge ...\wedge dd^c u_n = o((\frac{1}{\log r_0-\log r})^k) \text{ as } r\to 0$$

Combining this with the inequality

$$C_n(B(a,r),\Omega)\ge C_n(B(a,r),B(a,R_0)) = (\frac{1}{\log R_0-\log r})^n = O((\frac{1}{\log r_0-\log r)^n})$$

we get

$$\int\limits_{B(a,r)} dd^c u_1\wedge ...\wedge dd^c u_n = o((C_n(B(a,r)))^{\frac{k}{n}}).$$

The next result should be compared with Theorem 6.3 in [Ce1]

4.5. Theorem. *Let $u_1,...,u_n\in\mathcal{E}$. Then there exists $\tilde{u}\in\mathcal{E}^a$ such that*

$$dd^c u_1\wedge ...\wedge dd^c u_n = (dd^c\tilde{u})^n + dd^c u_1\wedge ...\wedge dd^c u_n|_{\{u_1=...=u_n=-\infty\}}.$$

Proof. First, we write

$$dd^c u_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_n = \mu + dd^c u_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_n|_{\{u_1 = ... = u_n = -\infty\}}.$$

where

$$\mu = dd^c u_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_n|_{\{u_1 > -\infty\} \cup ... \cup \{u_n > -\infty\}}.$$

It is easy to see that $\mu \ll C_n$ in every $E \subset\subset \Omega$. Indeed, by Theorem 4.1 we have

$$dd^c u_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_n|_{\{u_1 > -j\}} = dd^c \max(u_1, -j) \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_n|_{\{u_1 > -j\}}.$$

Hence, by Proposition 4.4 (i) it follows that $dd^c u_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_n|_{\{u_1 > -j\}} \ll C_n$ in every $E \subset\subset \Omega$. Next, it remains to show that there exists $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{E}^a$ such that $\mu = (dd^c \tilde{u})^n$. Let $\{\Omega_j\}$ be an increasing exhaustion sequence of Ω. For each $j \geq 1$ put $\mu_j = \mu|_{\Omega_j}$. By [Åh] there exists $\tilde{u}_j \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $(dd^c \tilde{u}_j)^n = \mu_j$. Notice that $\mu_j \nearrow \mu$ and

$$(dd^c \tilde{u}_j)^n \leq \mu \leq (dd^c(u_1 + ... + u_n))^n.$$

Applying the comparison principle we obtain

$$\tilde{u}_j \searrow \tilde{u} \geq u_1 + ... + u_n \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Hence, $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{E}^a$ and $(dd^c \tilde{u})^n = \lim\limits_{j \to \infty} (dd^c \tilde{u}_j)^n = \mu$. The proof is thereby completed.

4.6. Corollary. *$u_1, ..., u_n \in \mathcal{E}$. Then the following are equivalent*

i) *$dd^c u_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_n \ll C_n$ in every $E \subset\subset \Omega$.*

ii) $\int\limits_{\{u_1 = ... = u_n = -\infty\}} dd^c u_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_n = 0.$

iii) $\int\limits_{\{u_1 < -s, ..., u_n < -s\} \cap E} dd^c u_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c u_n \to 0$ *as $s \to +\infty$ for all $E \subset\subset \Omega$.*

Proof. Direct application of Theorem 4.5.

The comparison principle for class $\mathcal{F}$ was studied in [Ce3] and [H1]. By using Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 we prove a Xing type comparison principle for $\mathcal{F}$

4.7. Theorem. *Let $u \in \mathcal{F}$, $v \in \mathcal{E}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{k!} \int\limits_{\{u<v\}} (v-u)^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\{u<v\}} (r - w_1)(dd^c v)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{\{u<v\} \cup \{u=v=-\infty\}} (r - w_1)(dd^c u)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

for all $w_j \in \mathrm{PSH}(\Omega),\ 0 \leq w_j \leq 1,\ j = 1, ..., k,\ w_{k+1}, ..., w_n \in \mathcal{F}$ and all $r \geq 1$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. We set $\tilde{v} = \max(u, v - \epsilon)$. By a) in Proposition 3.1 we have

$$\frac{1}{k!}\int\limits_{\Omega}(\tilde{v}-u)^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\Omega}(r-w_1)(dd^c\tilde{v})^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{\Omega}(r-w_1)(dd^c u)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n.$$

Since $\{u < \tilde{v}\} = \{u < v - \epsilon\}$ and Theorem 4.1 we have

$$\frac{1}{k!}\int\limits_{\{u<v-\epsilon\}}(v-\epsilon-u)^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\{u\leq v-\epsilon\}}(r-w_1)(dd^c v)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{\{u\leq v-\epsilon\}}(r-w_1)(dd^c u)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{\{u<v\}\cup\{u=v=-\infty\}}(r-w_1)(dd^c u)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n.$$

Letting $\epsilon \searrow 0$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{k!}\int\limits_{\{u<v\}}(v-u)^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\{u<v\}}(r-w_1)(dd^c v)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{\{u<v\}\cup\{u=v=-\infty\}}(r-w_1)(dd^c u)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

4.8. Corollary. *Let $u \in \mathcal{E}^a$ such that $u \geq v$ for all functions $v \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfying $(dd^c u)^n \leq (dd^c v)^n$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{n!}\int\limits_{\{u<v\}}(v-u)^n dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\{u<v\}}(r-w_1)(dd^c v)^n$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{\{u<v\}}(r-w_1)(dd^c u)^n$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{E}$, $r \geq 1$ and all $w_1, ..., w_n \in \mathrm{PSH}(\Omega)$, $0 \leq w_1, ..., w_n \leq 1$.

Proof. Let $\{\Omega_j\}$ be an increasing exhaustion sequence of relatively compact subdomains of Ω. Set $\mu_j = 1_{\Omega_j}1_{\{u>-j\}}(dd^c u)^n$, where 1_E denotes the characteristic function of $E \subset \Omega$. Applying Theorem 4.1 we have

$$\mu_j = 1_{\Omega_j}1_{\{u>-j\}}(dd^c \max(u,-j))^n \leq 1_{\Omega_j}(dd^c \max(u,-j))^n.$$

Take $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$. Put

$$\phi_j = \max(u, -j, a_j \phi)$$

where $a_j = \frac{-j}{\sup\limits_{\Omega_{j+1}} \phi}$. Then $\phi_j = \max(u, -j)$ on Ω_{j+1}, $\phi_j \in \mathcal{E}_0$ and

$$\mu_j \leq 1_{\Omega_j}(dd^c \max(u, -j))^n = 1_{\Omega_j}(dd^c \phi_j)^n \leq (dd^c \phi_j)^n.$$

By Kołodziej's theorem (see [Ko]) there exists $u_j \in \mathcal{E}_0$ such that

$$(dd^c u_j)^n = \mu_j = 1_{\Omega_j} 1_{\{u>-j\}}(dd^c u)^n, \forall\ j \geq 1.$$

for all $j \geq 1$. By the comparison principle we have $u_j \searrow \tilde{u} \geq u$. On the other hand, since $(dd^c u)^n(\{u = -\infty\}) = 0$, it follows that

$$(dd^c u_j)^n = 1_{\Omega_j} 1_{\{u>-j\}}(dd^c u)^n \to (dd^c u)^n$$

weakly as $j \to \infty$. Thus $(dd^c \tilde{u})^n = \lim\limits_{j\to\infty}(dd^c u_j)^n = (dd^c u)^n$. By the hypothesis we have $\tilde{u} = u$. Applying Theorem 4.7 we get

$$\frac{1}{n!} \int\limits_{\{u_j<v\}} (v - u_j)^n dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\{u_j<v\}} (r - w_1)(dd^c v)^n$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{\{u_j<v\}} (r - w_1)(dd^c u_j)^n$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{\{u_j<v\}} (r - w_1)(dd^c u)^n.$$

Letting $j \to \infty$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n!} \int\limits_{\{u<v\}} (v - u)^n dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\{u<v\}} (r - w_1)(dd^c v)^n$$

Arguing as in Theorem 4.7 we prove a Xing type comparison principle for $\mathcal{E}$.

4.9. Theorem. *Let $u, v \in \mathcal{E}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $\varliminf\limits_{z\to\partial\Omega} [u(z) - v(z)] \geq 0$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{k!} \int\limits_{\{u<v\}} (v - u)^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\{u<v\}} (r - w_1)(dd^c v)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{\{u<v\}\cup\{u=v=-\infty\}} (r - w_1)(dd^c u)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

for all $w_j \in \mathrm{PSH}(\Omega),\ 0 \le w_j \le 1,\ j = 1, ..., k,\ w_{k+1}, ..., w_n \in \mathcal{E}$ *and all* $r \ge 1$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. We set $\tilde{v} = \max(u, v - \epsilon)$. By b) in Proposition 3.1 we have

$$\frac{1}{k!}\int\limits_{\Omega}(\tilde{v}-u)^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\Omega}(r - w_1)(dd^c \tilde{v})^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

$$\le \int\limits_{\Omega}(r - w_1)(dd^c u)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n.$$

Since $\{u < \tilde{v}\} = \{u < v - \epsilon\}$ and Theorem 4.1 we have

$$\frac{1}{k!}\int\limits_{\{u<v-\epsilon\}}(v-\epsilon-u)^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\{u \le v-\epsilon\}}(r - w_1)(dd^c v)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

$$\le \int\limits_{\{u \le v-\epsilon\}}(r - w_1)(dd^c u)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

$$\le \int\limits_{\{u<v\}\cup\{u=v=-\infty\}}(r - w_1)(dd^c u)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n.$$

Letting $\epsilon \searrow 0$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{k!}\int\limits_{\{u<v\}}(v-u)^k dd^c w_1 \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n + \int\limits_{\{u<v\}}(r - w_1)(dd^c v)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n$$

$$\le \int\limits_{\{u<v\}\cup\{u=v=-\infty\}}(r - w_1)(dd^c u)^k \wedge dd^c w_{k+1} \wedge ... \wedge dd^c w_n.$$

References

[Åh] P. Åhag, *The complex Monge-Ampère operator on bounded hyperconvex domains*, Ph. D. Thesis, Umeå University, (2002).

[Bl1] Z. Blocki, *On the definition of the Monge-Ampère operator in* $\mathbf{C}^2$, Math. Ann., **328** (2004), 415-423.

[Bl2] Z. Blocki, Weak solutions to the complex Hessian equation, Ann. Inst. Fourier **55** (2005), 1735-1756.

[BT1] E. Bedford and B.A.Taylor, *The Dirichlet problem for the complex Monge-Ampère operator.* Invent. Math.**37** (1976), 1-44.

[BT2] E. Bedford and B.A.Taylor, *A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions.* Acta Math., **149** (1982), 1-40.

[BT3] E. Bedford and B.A.Taylor, *Fine topology, Silov boundary, and* $(dd^c)^n$. J. Funct. Anal. **72** (1987), 225-251.

[Ce1] U. Cegrell, *Pluricomplex energy.* Acta Math., **180** (1998), 187-217.

[Ce2] U. Cegrell, *The general definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator.* Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **54** (2004), 159-179.

[Ce3] U. Cegrell, *A general Dirichlet problem for the complex Monge-Ampère operator*, preprint (2006).

[CKZ] U. Cegrell, S. Kołodziej and A. Zeriahi, *Subextention of plurisubharmonic functions with weak singularities.* Math. Zeit., **250** (2005), 7-22.

[Cz] R. Czyz, *Convergence in capacity of the Perron-Bremermann envelope*, Michigan Math. J., **53** (2005), 497-509.

[CLP] D. Coman, N. Levenberg and E.A. Poletsky,*Quasianalyticity and pluripolarity*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **18** (2005), 239-252.

[De1] J-P. Demailly, *Monge-Ampère operators, Lelong Numbers and Intersection theory*, Complex Analysis and Geometry, Univ. Ser. Math., Plenum, New York, 1993, 115-193.

[De2] J-P. Demailly, *Potential theory in several variables*, preprint (1989).

[Ko] S. Kołodziej, *The range of the complex Monge-Ampère operator*, II, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **44** (1995), 765-782.

[H1] P. Hiep, *A characterization of bounded plurisubharmonic functions*, Ann. Polon. Math., **85** (2004), 233-238.

[H2] P. Hiep, *The comparison principle and Dirichlet problem in the class* $\mathcal{E}_p(f)$, $p > 0$, Ann. Polon. Math., **88** (2006), 247-261.

[Le] P .Lelong, *Notions capacitaires et fonctions de Green pluricomplexes dans les espaces de Banach.* C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. Imath., 305:71-76, 1987.

[Xi1] Y. Xing, *Continuity of the complex Monge-Ampère operator.* Proc. of Amer. Math. Soc., **124** (1996), 457-467.

[Xi2] Y. Xing, *Complex Monge-Ampère measures of pluriharmonic functions with bounded values near the boundary.* Cand. J. Math., **52**, (2000),1085-1100.

Department of Mathematics

Hanoi University of Education (Dai hoc Su Pham Hanoi).

Cau giay, Ha Noi, VietNam
E-mail: phhiep_vn@yahoo.com